

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/475,385	12/30/1999	RANJAN GHOSAL	3600-011-01	6269
7590 07/24/2003				71
MARTHA ANN FINNEGAN ESQ			EXAMINER	
CABOT CORPO	ROAD		LAWRENCE JR, FRANK M	
BILLERICA, M	1A 01821		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	4		1724	
			DATE MAILED: 07/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A		IW
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>u</u> ,
Advisory Action	09/475,385	GHOSAL ET AL.	1
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frank M. Lawrence	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	:ss
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (' condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply ich places the application	tion in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the state form: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the right an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. sion and the corresponding amount of the distallutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Section 136(a) and the appropriate extended the final Office action; or (2)	extension fee
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the pR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below):	•
(b) M they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to		, = ==,,,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims	5 .
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	•		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	etion(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel	o) will be entered ar	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Claim(s) allowed: 51.			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19-25,39-46 and 48-50</u> .			٠.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapr	proved by the Examin	ier.
9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		, 	
0. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Frank Laure	ull
		Frank M. Lawrana	201

Frank M. Lawrence Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1724 Continuation She t (PTO-303) . 09/475,385

Application No.





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitation that the "modified carbonaceous material is not a gel composition" is considered to be a new issue that has not been previously considered before the mailing of the final rejection because a gel composition was never excluded by the claim limitations. The same limitation is also considered to be new matter because it is not supported by the original disclosure.